



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,353	01/31/2002	Roberta Lee	RUB15531CIPC2	5598
22430	7590 02/27/2004		EXAM	NER
YOUNG LAW FIRM			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 4370 ALPINE ROAD SUITE 106		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028			3731	SW
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/066,353	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin T. Truong	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froutle, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ele	ction to restriction 02/17/04.					
, —	•—	nis action is non-final.	•				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>69-82</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>78-82</u> is/are withdrest Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>69-77</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	awn from consideration.					
• •	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f)				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🔯 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-6</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/066,353

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 69-77, drawn to a method of removing a specimen of breast tissue that is cut from surrounding breast tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 564.
 - II. Claims 78-82, drawn to a device configured to remove a specimen of breast tissue, classified in class 606, subclass 159.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for removing plaque from blood vessel and not limited to the step of removing a specimen of breast tissue that is cut from surrounding breast tissue as claimed.

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Alan Young on 02/17/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 69-77. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 78-82 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/066,353

Art Unit: 3731

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 69-77 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,440,147. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively broad subject matter claimed in the instant application clearly would have been obvious in view of the relatively detailed subject matter of the patent claims.

Claims 69-77 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,145. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively broad subject matter claimed in the instant application clearly would have been obvious in view of the relatively detailed subject matter of the patent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 3731

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 69-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by McGuckin, Jr. (U.S. 6,280,450) and McGuckin, Jr. (U.S. 6,626,903).

McGuckin, Jr. et al discloses the method steps as claimed in figures 27-34.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-3767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731

11/2000